



PARLIAMENT REJECTS THE ROPE

Vote on hanging, against the people's will, reveals the fraudulence of 'democracy'

THE BRITISH PEOPLE are forever being assured, by both their ruling politicians and the mass media, that the system of government under which they live, popularly referred to as 'democracy', is one in which the will of the people themselves prevails. The recent vote in parliament, by which the politicians chose to reject the restoration of the death penalty, exposed yet again what a fraud this is.

There has never been the slightest doubt that the vast majority of the British public has always favoured capital punishment for murder. This popular feeling has been particularly intensified by the increasing incidence of certain categories of murder in recent times, especially since the abolition of hanging in the 1960s.


And there is no doubt at all that people wish to see capital punishment applied particularly against the sadistic killers of children, such as the moors murderers Ian Brady and Myra Hindley, and sex-killers like the Yorkshire Ripper Peter Sutcliffe. Nor would anyone question that there would be a clear majority in favour of death for IRA terrorists who deliberately plant bombs to slaughter innocent people *en masse*, and for the murderers of policemen, such as Winston Silcott, the criminal who led the blood-crazed mob that hacked PC Keith Blakelock to death during the Tottenham race riot of 1985.

The rejection of the popular will by the overwhelming majority of MPs of all parties, who voted against the restoration of the rope, showed that, far from being responsive to the wishes of the people, the politicians of Westminster are quite prepared to treat those wishes with utter contempt if they conflict with the spineless mentality of 'liberal' softness and wetness which pervades the ruling establishment in Britain, of which all the Westminster parties — with the exception of the Ulster Unionists — are a part.

SOURCE OF DECAY

It is this mentality of 'liberalism' that is the source of the decay and disintegration of British society which, among other evil consequences for the nation, has allowed murderous perverts and sadists to crawl out of the woodwork. It is in the climate that has grown from this mentality, with its diseased attitude of 'compassion' for everything degenerate and inferior and its continual snivelling sympathy towards even the worst anti-social elements, that the criminal has come to be portrayed as a 'poor' and 'oppressed' 'victim of society', whilst the real victims, the

innocent victims of thugs and murderers, have been pushed out of the picture and forgotten.



NO TO HANGING
On this issue, as on so many others, the rulers of 'democracy' treat the people's wishes with contempt

It was this 'liberal' mentality that lay behind the disappearance of the death penalty 20 years ago which clearly opened the era in which the type of criminal scum referred to above started to grow ever more bold. Freed from the prospect of the gallows, these criminals now feel much less restraint about proceeding to extremes of viciousness of a kind hardly ever heard of a generation or so ago.

Yet despite these obvious tendencies the old-party politicians refuse to pay any attention to the sound instinct of the British people that demands the return of capital punishment. Nor can the people improve their prospects of getting their will implemented by switching their vote from one of the established parties to any of the others; all are equally saturated in the same

liberalistic humbug.

Those few MPs — mostly right-wing Tories — in favour of restoring the death penalty recognised in advance that they had no chance of winning the parliamentary vote on the issue. Arch-wet Tory Home Secretary Douglas

Hurd was absolutely opposed. The Tory Cabinet does not even have a majority in favour of a national referendum on the matter.

MAJORITY DEFIED

On many other issues, as on that of capital punishment, the majority of the British people has definite views at variance with what the establishment wishes to impose — showing that 'democracy' in Britain, whatever its theoretical merits, simply does not exist.

This is almost invariably the case where what the people wish is for strong measures to promote internal national order and unity and external national independence. In addition to

capital punishment, there are other issues of long-term importance to Britain's well-being on which there is a similar level of popular feeling. Among these are Immigration and the Common Market. On these issues too the system acts in the same way to frustrate the will of the people, depriving them of an effective voice.

The people should indeed be angry with the way in which the game of the establishment party politicians is continually cheating them and their children of a decent future. It is high time to replace this cynical fraud with a system which responds to the patriotic instincts of the great mass of decent British people and with political leaders who have the will to enforce law and order for the benefit of the people.

Another Race Riot

BLACK/WHITE BATTLE IN DERBY PLAYED DOWN BY NATIONAL NEWS MEDIA

AT THE BEGINNING OF MARCH a new outbreak of racial mayhem took place in Derby. In a prolonged riot described in the local press as "four hours of terror and confusion," innocent passers-by were set upon at random, including a van-load of mentally handicapped children mistaken for police. Barricades were erected as cars were burnt out, shop windows smashed and police officers left bruised and beaten.

Although this constituted a major racial disturbance, there was scarcely a word about it in the national news media — showing that the guardians of the 'multi-racial society', faced with increasing evidence, in the form of inner city rioting, of the failure of their beloved experiment, are now trying to cover up by withholding from the majority of the public the news that such riots are even taking place.

The pretext for the riot appears to have been a clash between a group of 60 mainly white Portsmouth football supporters and 40 black youths in the town. According to a report in the local 'Derby Evening Telegraph', the Whites started the trouble by attacking a local club called the 'Texas Goldmine', used largely by young West Indians. The report did not specify whether this attack was unprovoked or not, though it goes without saying that, even if it was, it could not be justified.

BLACK RAMPAGE

None of this, however, provides any excuse for the violence that followed. Young Blacks went on a rampage through the city, attacking the persons and property of ordinary people who had no part whatever in the original trouble. Once again we saw a repeat of the familiar pattern witnessed in other areas where members of the black community had some reason, warranted or not, to be displeased with

something.

Whatever the truth may have been about who started the original brawl, the subsequent full-scale rioting, involving hundreds, was on any showing an appalling outbreak of criminal mob violence.

We Nationalists have always made plain our standpoint on the question of law and order. When riots break out, whoever starts them or causes them, common sense dictates that they should be uncompromisingly put down by whatever methods are the quickest and most efficient. Police should be trained and equipped, and then firmly ordered, to act in such a way as to restore order whenever it is threatened by hooligans of whatever kind. Such criminals deserve no mercy and should be shown none.


Here, however, it seems that once again the police used much less than the full vigour of the law in dealing with the rioting by the rampaging Blacks.

Some indication of the flabby police attitude — by which it must be understood that we mean SENIOR police officers and not the ordinary bobbies in the front line — was provided by a statement by Derbyshire's Assistant Chief Constable Don Dovaston, who said of the black rioters: "I don't condone what happened but I can understand their frustrations."

SYMPATHY WITH RIOTERS

Dovaston was backed up by another pillar of the local 'establishment', Derby's Mayor, Mr. Richard Keene, who said of the violence by the West Indians: "When visitors to our city deliberately make an attack on a community centre, what can you do?... It is hard to say to the black community don't react when it would take a

Contd. on page 3



CAR BURNS
IN DERBY

**MAGGIE
ON 'FREEDOM'**

DURING her pre-election official visit to the Soviet Union, evidently designed as a means of projecting herself to the British voters as the 'great stateswoman', Mrs. Thatcher saw fit to damn the Soviet system for its repressiveness, while praising up Western 'democracy' for its so-called 'tolerance of dissent' and 'freedom of speech'. In a public statement she said the following:-

"That tells a lot about what freedom and justice can do.

"If you are going to deny your people freedom of speech and worship you are a danger to other countries."

Remarking that no two of what she chose to call "democratic nations" had been at war for more than 70 years, she proceeded to emit the following noises:-

"If a country persists in putting people in prison for their political and religious views, that is something you must take into account when you gauge whether they are going to keep to agreements that you make on arms control.

"There are certain things which I believe belong to all mankind which no state can take away."

Brave-sounding words!

But before the fanatical devotees of the Thatcher cult start throwing their sweaty nightcaps in the air in their enthusiasm for these latest 'iron lady' posturings we would suggest that they should stop and think a little. In assessing the Prime Minister's words, they should perhaps first cast a glance at the reality of what is happening under 'democracy' in Britain.

Over the past generation, our politicians have put on the statute books a series of laws which aim to restrict — and whose logical outcome if pushed to the limit would be to suppress entirely — the publication in print, or the utterance in speech, of any opinions hostile to coloured immigration or critical of the setting up of the multi-racial society. These laws, euphemistically cloaked under the headings of 'race relations' and 'public order', have been passed through parliament in spite of the fact that the issue of whether Britain was to be made a multi-racial country was never put to any sort of popular vote — indeed in spite of the fact that public opinion polls, and much hard evidence besides, have always indicated an overwhelming hostility to the whole idea amongst the mass of British people.

During the years 1965 and 1966 an unprecedented legal onslaught was launched by Britain's 'democratic' ruling establishment against dissenters of this kind, with almost 20 British patriots being prosecuted under the race and public order acts for publishing and distributing facts about multi-racial Britain. A number of those prosecuted were in fact eventually imprisoned, these including the editor of this paper and the leader of the British National Party, which it supports.

And who was behind these prosecutions of Britons imprisoned and fined for speaking up in the cause of their nation and race? Why, none other than the same Tory Government which Mrs. Thatcher heads. In fact since these race and public order acts became law, under no government have there been so many such prosecutions as under the present one!

This is what makes Mrs. Thatcher's Moscow speeches about 'freedom' the purest humbug. Under her regime in Britain, we are fast progressing towards just the same kind of police state as the one the Premier has just visited.

ROYALS REACH ROCK BOTTOM

Charles and Diana give seal of approval to dregs of society

OUR PAPER is an upholder of the monarchical system in Britain. This, however, will not prevent us criticising the public or private actions of individuals in the Royal Family if we feel that they are abusing their station.

Indeed, so contemptibly have some of the Royals recently given the seal of their approval to those people and tendencies rotting British society that we feel a special public duty to voice our condemnation of them.

Prince Charles, our Heir to the Throne, has for a long time been at desperate pains to demonstrate what a firm champion he is of the 'multi-racial society', losing no opportunity to visit and hobnob with 'ethnic minority' communities, and even going to the lengths of performing the undignified actions (for one in his position) involved in some of their dances. The Prince, whenever a black riot explodes in one of our inner cities, is to be heard, not condemning the rioters, but deploring only the conditions of 'unemployment', 'underprivilege' and 'alienation' which he cites as excuses for their actions.

ALL-TIME LOW

In March the Prince dragged the Royal Family down to an all-time low



CHARLES MEETS BERNIE

Before shaking hands with the man who approved the Tottenham riot, our Heir to the Throne has invited him to lunch

when he visited the notorious London borough of Haringey and met its loud-mouthed champion of inner city rioters, Bernie Grant. Grant, it will be remembered, is the far left black councillor who said, after the mayhem at Tottenham in 1985 that the police got "a bloody good hiding," implying tacit approval of the murderous behaviour of the rioters and the appalling injuries they inflicted on the police sent to deal with the riot — among whom one, PC Keith Blakelock, was hacked to death.

None of this seemed to deter Prince Charles, who shook hands with Grant and chatted in the most friendly way with him for some time. In addition to this, he actually invited Grant to lunch!

Not to be outdone by her husband, Princess Diana has also been very busy ingratiating herself with the 'ethnic minorities', making several visits to immigrant areas and having herself photographed meeting members of the black and Asian communities. In addition to this, the Princess seems keen to get in on the queer-lovers' bandwagon. Recently she made a visit to the Broderip Ward of the Middlesex Hospital in London, which has been set aside as a treatment centre for AIDS victims. There she was photographed shaking hands with the patients.

The very next day, pictures of this occasion were plastered all over the



COURAGE OR EXHIBITIONISM?
Princess Di shakes hands with AIDS victim

national newspapers, with headlines and reports praising the Princess's 'courage' in these gestures. Of course this is pure moonshine.

Either the Princess was advised by the doctors that she was taking a risk of infection in touching AIDS sufferers or she was told the opposite, that there was no risk. If the former, then she was committing an act, not of courage, but of **criminal irresponsibility**. The Princess is a mother of two children, apart from the nation's future Queen. If there had been any risk of infection, she had no right whatever to expose herself to it. It is virtually certain, however, that she was advised by doctors that there was no such risk involved in mere shaking of hands. In that case it makes a nonsense of the claim that her doing so was an act of 'courage'. All it was in fact was just a piece of exhibitionism which achieved nothing other than to give the royal stamp on homosexuals as 'normal' and 'respectable' members of society.

WE ARE PRO-MONARCHY

British Nationalist wants the Monarchy in Britain to survive because we believe it is an institution that has great potential value to the British nation and its overseas kin, and is in fact one of the

few institutions today which provide a link between communities of British stock the world over.

ROYALS BEING USED

For this very reason, we abominate the way in which the Royal Family is presently being advised in respect of its actions and postures in fields which have a bearing on public affairs and national attitudes and morals. Millions look to the Royals for an example, and when they see the Royals doing this or that they are likely to feel that it must be 'alright'. When the Prince of Wales therefore shakes hands with the likes of Bernie Grant, or when his lady wife shakes the hands of AIDS sufferers (i.e. homosexuals) this amounts to examples being set of the worst possible kind.

Not only should we deplore those who advise the Prince and Princess, however. Neither of them are any longer children. They should be held responsible for their own actions. They could decline the recommendations of their advisers to engage in such public postures, and they do not. They are therefore not themselves without responsibility for the appalling examples they are nowadays setting to those they would wish to be their loyal subjects.

THE MURDERING OF ENGLISH

THE SUBJECT OF ENGLISH at school used at one time to be divided into Grammar and Literature.

Grammar taught us the proper use of our language: sentence construction, syntax, parsing parts of speech, spelling and punctuation. Literature provided us with a window into the rich past of our literary history and the writings of world-renowned poets, novelists and playwrights.

That has now all been thrown away in the brave new world of 'educational freedom'. Those who would shape and mould the citizens of tomorrow's 'one-world' slave state have no intention of treating English as a subject to be used to give young Britons a grounding in their own language, which is world-wide in its usage and understanding.

So Grammar is no longer taught, and nor is Spelling, and the results are all too evident. Mis-spelling, mispronunciation and poor expression in writing are rampant. It is said that as many as 7 million Britons may be unable to read and write (although it is likely that that figure includes many who are not Britons at all but in fact foreigners.

'English Literature' now com-



BRITISH CLASSROOM IN 1980s: 'MULTI-CULTURAL'

prises the examining of contemporary writing, however worthless and base, unpatriotic or left-wing (or nowadays multi-racial). Among the writers in contemporary English textbooks whose works are studied for comprehension purposes are: Pete Seeger, Keith Waterhouse, Paul McCartney, Shelagh Delaney, Anne Mehdevi, Arnold Wesker, Merka

Otava, Alan Paton, Farrukh Dhondy and Robert Tressell, to name but a few. Of Milton, Wordsworth, Byron, Lamb, Hardy, Thackeray, Scott, Stevenson, Ben Johnson, Sheridan and Congreve there is hardly a mention.

Now the latest 'author' being considered for A-level English is none other than he of 'pop' fame and

mass media adulation, Bob Geldof. Geldof's autobiography (and one wonders what he has to tell) includes such edifying ethical concepts as oral sex, sado-masochism and homosexual rape. Isn't this all of a piece with the unfolding plan of the destroyers of our country? Eradicate an appreciation of our past, both literary and historical, promote obscenity and banality, distortion and half-truth, as suitable food for thought for young people to cut their teeth on, and proceed to grind them down early in their lives to the intellectual level of the jungle — and thus achieve 'equality'!

DESERTION OF STANDARDS

The body responsible for this latest piece of adulteration is the 'Associated Examining Board', one of the institutions in the forefront of ensuring the decline in school standards, along with the National Union of Teachers. This body has just issued a guide to the marking of exam papers. It is recommended that, in answering questions, pupils do not have to use correct spelling or grammar or even write correct sentences. The official guidance is that 'understanding the gist' of something in a number of languages is more important than it

Contd. on back page

ANOTHER RACE RIOT

(Contd. from front page)

tremendous amount of restraint to turn the other cheek..."

It may be doubted whether either Mr. Davaston or Mr. Keene would show such understanding towards disorders committed by white youths, however 'provoked' or 'frustrated' they might profess to be. It would appear, however, that thuggery carried

out by members of 'ethnic minorities' is to be regarded differently. In fact, the attitude of these two leading local citizens, even described by the press as "sympathetic", provides the green light for any group of hooligans to go on a similar rampage the moment they feel that they have suffered provocation, real or imagined.

Once again it has been shown that local politicians and police chiefs (who are always essentially POLITICAL appointees) are bending over backwards to curry favour with the

'ethnic minority' communities, even to the point of half endorsing riotous behaviour such as that witnessed in Derby in early March. This is a truly disgraceful state of affairs which, in any sane society, would lead to the instant dismissal of both officials. In a society gone mad and having totally lost the will to defend itself against those who would undermine law and order, such fatuous proclamations of sympathy are considered normal.

Unless and until the British people take the necessary steps to replace

their present ruling establishment with a Nationalist Government, we can expect that these disorders will continue and grow worse. The short-term solution to such disorders is to see to it that law and order are applied evenly to all sections of the population in Britain, while the longer-term solution to conflict with racial overtones is to terminate the failed experiment of the multi-racial society and remove the cause of racial tension by removing the ethnic minorities from this country. The behaviour of the white football

supporters, if correctly reported in the press, is not to be condoned. But until we recognise and act upon the fact that multi-racialism has failed similar acts are going to occur again and again. Of course, while politicians and police chiefs excuse black rioting by speaking of the 'frustration' of the black community they would not excuse similar behaviour by Whites on the grounds of the latter's 'frustration' against imposed multi-racialism, which the people have never been given the chance of voting against!

BRITAIN TRAPPED IN INTERNATIONAL 'FREE TRADE' JUNGLE FOR BANKERS' PROFIT

WITH THE THREAT of a trade war between Japan and Western countries, including Britain, it has been clearly shown how powerless successive governments have left this country in the face of international financial and economic forces. These forces have been permitted to operate almost without restriction, as a matter of deliberate policy, by Britain's ruling establishment, which has by and large preferred to serve the interests of the international banking fraternity rather than the economic well being of Britain.

Central to the operations of the international financial and economic system is the principle of unrestricted free trade across national boundaries, carried out on a global scale between the nations of the world as their producers scramble for markets in competition with one another. The practical effect of this system on Britain's economy has been that, as foreign manufactured goods have been allowed to flood into this country, undercutting British products in their own home market, whole industries have been driven to the wall and their workers mostly condemned to life on the dole.

The most deadly competition in manufactured goods to which Britain has been exposed has come from Japan. It is hardly surprising that this country should have been a prime target and victim of Japan in view of the doctrine that her politicians — foremost among them Mrs. Thatcher — have subscribed to 'free market' principles and proclaimed the supposed virtues of 'open trading' policies.

The results are plain to see in the fact that Britain no longer has a manufacturing capability in a wide range of industrial fields. Obvious examples include such items as motor cycles, cameras and many forms of electrical goods, whilst volume motor manufacturing has been steadily declining. Britain has now been allowed to sink to the point at which she has a trade deficit with Japan of some £3.7 billion.

FLIGHT OF CAPITAL

The counterpart of this annihilating import flood has been a corresponding flight of British investment capital. This has been engineered by international finance so that, as Britain has been 'de-industrialised' by the competition of cheap goods from relatively low-cost industries abroad, the banks have been allowed to profit by putting their capital into overseas economies, often directly competitive to our own.

Britain's remaining industrial firms have been compelled to go along with the system, seeking their survival by diverting their investment and production effort from the home economy in which their competitive capability has

been crippled into overseas economies. This often involves such outrageous procedures as the export of Western high technology to Third World, Communist Bloc and, above all, East Asian countries — in order to build up these countries' industrial base so that they can become even more effective competitors against ourselves!

Obviously, neither British governments nor British manufacturers can exercise any real control over these foreign markets upon which our industries have been brought into dependence. This means that British industry (and hence British domestic levels of production and employment) end up by becoming hostages to the grace and favour of these foreigners, who can throw our economy into chaos by refusing us business.

Of all industrialised nations, Japan has been by far the least willing to play the game of international free trade according to the kind of suicidal rules which have been imposed on Britain by her own rulers. The Japs have pursued their own national advantage, seeking ruthlessly to exploit the self-imposed weakness of countries like Britain which run their economies on international free trade principles. Japan, as is well known, does not herself operate an open market policy. The Japanese home market for manufactured goods is largely a closed shop to foreigners, being reserved almost exclusively for the Japanese producer. The large scale of production which this absolutely guarantees to the latter makes for low unit costs. The security of the Japanese market for home-producers means that those producers can seek the maximum profits in that market. This gives them the capability to reduce profits in foreign markets so as to undercut their competitors and drive them out of business, and then raise prices once this competition has been eliminated.

Not surprisingly, the Japs are unwilling to forego these advantages. In fact the present trade row has been sparked off by the humiliating exclusion of the British telecommunications firm Cable & Wireless from a major contract in Japan. The Japs, predictably running true to form, are continuing to refuse the British, along with other outsiders, so much as a toe-hold in their economy.

With an election approaching, Mrs. Thatcher is now feigning concern over Japan's obdurate attitude, but when it comes to taking real action she is in fact doing very little; instead of taking a leaf out of Japan's book and adopting strong measures against Japanese exports to this country, all she has done is promise some mild limitations on Japanese activity on London's Stock Exchange — together with the assertion that Japan's national airline must use Gatwick Airport instead of Heathrow!

confronted by a small group of 'Highfields residents', who ordered us out of their ghetto. We were subjected to the usual death-threats, and I was promised: "If you come into Highfields, Peacock, I'll knife you, man. That's not a threat; that's a promise, man." We left because my visitor and I were due to meet some nationalists from various organisations for a meeting in a Leicester hotel.

Highfields not a no-go area? Well, maybe not for the police — if they chose a time when most of the residents are asleep in their beds! But for nationalists? Not so easy! In fact ordinary British people live there in fear.

This is my country and my city, and I am damned angry at the fact that I cannot venture about it as I wish.

JOHN PEACOCK
(East Midlands Organiser, BNP)
Leicester


The truth is that the British political establishment and the financial forces that rule it from behind the scenes have long conspired to enmesh the British nation in a web of international economic arrangements from which, they calculate, it can only be extricated with maximum difficulty.

The full extent of this economic treason was revealed in a parliamentary statement by Tory junior Trade Minister Allen Clark, who stated that Britain cannot take effective trade sanctions against Japan because "in GATT (the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade), in the Treaty of Rome (by which Britain is joined to the EEC) and in all our treaty obligations, there are very powerful restraints on retaliatory action." In other words, Britain's ruling establishment has tried to lock her into a system of international agreements which make it impossible for her to act in her own national economic self-defence — in effect, we cannot even treat the Japanese as they treat us!

BANKERS' RACKETS

All these agreements to which Mr. Clark referred are no more than international bankers' rackets. It is only international finance that gains from unlimited international trade, which these arrangements are designed to promote. Complex large-scale international trade multiplies the kind of indebtedness between nations, expressed in such phenomena as Britain's massive trade imbalance with Japan, which provide the bankers with the opportunity to step in with their loans, extended to debtor nations in such a way as to guarantee to themselves the maximum profit. Meanwhile the financiers make huge gains from the way that they can affect international trading relationships by raising and lowering the exchange rates of national currencies — by buying and selling those currencies on world money markets.

Under a sane economic regime this
Contd. on back page



THE JAP INVASION
In top picture: family saloon cars from Japan waiting on quayside for collection by British distributors; centre: one of the Jap motor cycles dominating our market; bottom: Jap camera, another job loser for British workers



PROMISES, PROMISES

Remember Mrs. Thatcher's suggestion that Britain was in danger of being 'swamped' by immigrants, which she made just before the 1979 general election? Many readers will doubtless remember that she went on to promise that the influx of immigrants would be severely curtailed if the Tories were elected to office. I remember it well; and I also remember addressing a large open-air meeting in London during which I predicted that she would renege on her promise by permitting thousands of Vietnamese 'Boat People' to settle here within a month of being elected.

I was proved right. Three weeks after becoming Prime Minister, Mrs. Thatcher agreed to let 11,000 of these aliens into the country. And that was just the first instalment! During the intervening years many more have been allowed to come here, including 20,000 who have come via Hong Kong. Now I hear that many more of the 'Boat People' in the colony are going to be allowed to come to Britain and that Home Secretary Douglas Hurd will make an announcement to this effect within a few weeks. I wonder if I am right in thinking that the announcement won't be made until after the general election!

A COSY ARRANGEMENT

Having twice stood as a parliamentary candidate, I read with some interest a report in one of the Sunday papers claiming that the law governing election expenses is flouted by the main political parties, all of which frequently exceed the legal limits on election

RONALD RICKCORD

Talking Points

expenditure. (Readers please note that this is a crime of which I cannot be accused; my expenditure during both candidacies scarcely exceeded my deposit!)

According to the report, there exists a cosy arrangement whereby 'collusive meetings' take place between party agents to determine which items of expenditure will be declared on the expense return forms before submitting them to the constituency returning officers. One Labour Party agent is reported to have said that "none of the parties directly or openly challenges the others' expenses, as that would open up a can of worms. There is an agreement, an unwritten one, between the parties about what expenses should be submitted to the returning officers and what should be hidden."

So much for the 'fair play' of the democratic system about which we hear so much. I hope that every BNP candidate who suspects that his opponents exceed the permitted expenditure in the forthcoming general election will make a point of filing a complaint so as to force the returning officers to open up those "cans of worms". By so doing, they will help to expose the rampancy hypocrisy and corruption that are inherent in our fraudulent electoral system.

SONS OF SONS

With rumours rife that the Defence Ministers have agreed to the 'ethnic monitoring' of army recruits, it seems that that latter-day Star Chamber, the Commission for Racial Equality, is winning its campaign to force the Brigade of Guards to accept black recruits into its ranks, despite opposition from senior army officers.

For generations, regiments have become almost a family affair, with sons regarding it as their privilege and duty to serve in the same units as their forefathers before them. This tradition naturally leads to regimental pride and camaraderie and the high morale that is so essential to any fighting unit.

However, this perfectly proper and desirable state of affairs offends against the scruples of the CRE. One of its spokeswomen, a certain Margaret Michie, is reported to have declared that "the problem with the armed forces is that by their nature the recruits tend to be the sons of sons of sons. This clearly discriminates against the ethnic minority who are not the sons of sons."

Well, apart from the implied slur on the parentage of those coming from the 'ethnic minorities', I am at a loss to know where all this concern with 'discrimination' will end. For instance, to be eligible to join the Guards, one has to be over a certain height and to be of good physique. Do not such regulations discriminate unfairly against those who are unable to match up to these requirements? And what are CRE busybodies going to do about that? Will they now demand that dwarfs and hunchbacks be allowed to enlist in the Guards?

YOUR LETTERS

Sir, — 'Talking Points' in the March issue looks at the questions of no-go areas and Ronald Rickcord concludes: "In other words, no-go areas do exist."

In Leicester, the police deny officially that the Highfields district is a no-go area. They do acknowledge that it is "racially sensitive". To prove their argument, they recently swooped into the area and made a quite impressive drugs raid. Due to the 'sensitive' nature of the area, the operation was carried out at 5 o'clock in the morning! So you will observe that Highfields is not quite a no-go area for the police.

The British National Party has members living in this 'racially sensitive' area of Leicester, and on Saturday, the 14th March, I was with a nationalist friend from Belgium in Highfields. We were

THE BRITISH NATIONAL PARTY succeeded in completing a public rally in Leicester on the 2nd of May in the face of a mob of potentially violent opponents several times the number of the nationalist contingent.

The rally was held in support of East Midlands BNP organiser John Peacock, who was standing as a candidate in elections to the local council. The BNP asserted its right under the Representation of the People Act to hire a publicly-owned hall for the purpose of the rally, and this greatly angered local left-wing groups, whose attitude is that nationalists have no right to free speech anywhere.

THE MURDERING OF ENGLISH

(Contd. from page 2)

being conveyed in the English language grammatically correct and with the right spellings. This is in all likelihood a kow-tow to the Swann Committee's proposals which have as their goal the steamrolling of multi-racialism throughout the British education system. What, after all, does English matter in a country where Urdu, Tamil, Gujarati, Bengali and a variety of other ethnic languages are spoken — and taught in our schools?

ADVERTISING THE B.N.P. SYMBOL

Party lapel badges: £1.25 (inc. p&p). Key-rings with party logo: £1.75 (inc. p&p). Party logo in cloth, 2.4in. in diameter for sewing on shoulder of anorak: £1.50 (inc. p&p). Please send cash with orders to: PO Box 457, London SE20 7QE.

BNP Recordings

RALLY '82

Speeches from the BNP national rally in London on October 11th 1982, the theme of which was 'Unite and fight for Britain's future!'

Side 1: Includes speech by Charles Parker; Side 2: Speech by John Tyndall.

VOICES OF NATIONALISM

Side 1: Recordings of speeches from the Nationalist Unity rally in London on September 5th 1981. Hear John Tyndall, Kenneth McMillan, Len Beardsford Walker and others. Side 2: Talk by John Tyndall on 'The coming British Revolution'.

TYNDALL SPEAKS I

Side 1: Talk on 'Our Anglo-Saxon heritage' (about the worldwide dispersal of the peoples of British stock); Side 2: Talk on 'Britain's economic crisis'.

TYNDALL SPEAKS II

Side 1: Talk on 'The case for nationalism' (the internationalist argument demolished); Side 2: Talk on 'Tragedy of the 20th century' (analysis of the results of the 2nd World War).

TYNDALL SPEAKS III

Side 1: Talk on 'Why we must repatriate' (the self-explanatory); Side 2: Talk on 'Foundations of the national community' (analysis of rights and duties in a racial nationalist state).

These 5 sets of recordings are presented in cassette form and are available at £3.50 each (with 20p postage) from: BNP Recordings, PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS.

SPEARHEAD

For an in-depth view of nationalist policies read *Spearhead*, a 20-page monthly magazine which supports the British National Party. Price 50p. Yearly subscription £8.00. 63p for sample copy to: *Spearhead*, PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS.

BUY BRITISH NATIONALIST IN BULK

British Nationalist retails at 12p from your local seller. Would bulk purchasers order only in the quantities listed below. Standing orders welcomed.

10 copies £1.20 + 37p post
25 copies £2.70 + £1.00 post
50 copies £4.80 + £1.90 post
100 copies £8.40 + £2.35 post
150 copies £12.00 + £2.55 post
200 copies £15.00 + £2.75 post
300 copies £21.00 + £2.95 post
400 copies £26.40 + £3.25 post
500 copies £32.00) Bulk rates
1,000 copies £62.00) by Roadline

Cheques/postal orders to *British Nationalist*, PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS. Please keep orders and enquiries concerning *British Nationalist* totally separate from other correspondence in order to avoid delay and confusion.

RED MOB THWARTED AT LEICESTER

BNP activity in Leicester of late has in fact so alarmed the left that numerous far left groups have coalesced together to oppose it under the umbrella name of the 'Anti-Fascist Association', an alliance which ropes in all manner of naive liberals and even some 'wet' Tories but which in fact is merely a communist front organisation and hard-left-controlled.

On announcement of the pending BNP rally the AFA got to work and vowed that the event would be physically stopped from taking place. A march and demonstration against the BNP were organised for the day and at the appointed time a large mob turned up at the entrance to the hall clearly intending to prevent the BNP contingent entering and staging the meeting.

Due to a number of unfortunate factors, the most notable of which was the coincidence of holidays on the part of several leading party activists, the BNP turn-out on this occasion was unusually small. When the BNP contingent arrived, less than 50-strong, it found a hostile mob of nearly 200 facing it. The police presence was less than would have been expected, and the reds naturally hoped that the BNP men would be intimidated against trying to enter the hall.

They were wrong. The BNP men walked calmly and purposefully through the mob and made their entrance. Had it come to a battle, the numerical superiority of the opposition would most probably have resulted in the BNP being overwhelmed. The reds, however, know the BNP's reputation for fighting when it is called upon to defend itself, and they needed no telling that a great many of them would get badly hurt before their preponderance in numbers told in the end. No-one wanted to be the first in — well, almost no-one. One intrepid red did try his luck and was promptly flogged by a hard and well-timed right-hander from Liverpool activist Joe Owens. Another

red tried the same thing and was very efficiently put on his back by a well aimed blow from East Londoner Alan McIntosh. After that the mob just held back and confined themselves to verbal abuse.

BNP holds rally in face of huge odds

Their hostile intent was plain, however. The evening before John Peacock and 3 of his local activists had been putting up some posters in the city and had returned to Mr. Peacock's home for some refreshment before breaking up for the night when they were set upon and attacked by 8 reds. They fought back and saw the reds off, although one of them was given a bit of a kicking in the process. Undeterred, Mr. Peacock, who was the chief target of the mobsters, turned up the next day and was in the thick of the fray.

The meeting proceeded to the accompaniment of continual baying by the mob, aimed at drowning the voices of the speakers. This failed, however, and the mob became more and more impatient to smash the meeting up and end it once and for all. The outnumbered BNP contingent stood its ground, however, and the same situation prevailed as had done at the entrance to the hall. The left, numerically strong enough to overwhelm the nationalists, were reluctant to get their heads cracked in the process. After the two salutary lessons provided earlier, no-one wanted to be in the vanguard of the assault, and again the opposition — after all the boastful promises of the preceding week — was confined to the purely verbal.

The meeting ended with the BNP men taunting the reds with two rousing choruses of *Land of Hope and Glory* and *Rule Britannia!* They then marched out of the hall with John Peacock at their head and proceeded unmolested to their

such a system should make available to each other the volumes of each other's currencies necessary to continue this trade as and when required. There can thus be, under such a system, no need for permanent international indebtedness of the kind on which the international bankers thrive, and speculation in our national currency could be ended.

Under such a national system, in which Britain was freed from the international trade jungle, there would be little call for us to trade at all with such countries as Japan. Instead, we should rebuild our relationships with the countries of the White Commonwealth (Australia, New Zealand and Canada). By trade with these countries and with South Africa, almost all our raw material requirements could be met while such an area could provide a secure market for our manufactured products. Within such a trading unit, whose resources could be exploited for the common good, Britain could resume the status once again of a great economic world power, running all her affairs in her own national interest and wholly free from the tyranny of international finance.

This, and this alone, is the way out of the present chaos and back to national prosperity, full employment and national freedom.



JOHN PEACOCK SPEAKS
He is the man Leicester reds love to hate

vehicles and home.

What had looked at the beginning of the day to be in danger of a disaster due to the small turn-out was in fact turned into a major triumph by the resolute stand of the BNP men in the face of vastly superior numbers. We were left thinking that, had the occasion been a Tory meeting and presided over and stewarded by the usual wimps in charge of such events, it would have been abruptly cancelled at the first whiff of hostile red opposition. The nationalists of the BNP, however, are people of very different calibre, and the ranks held and the meeting proceeded to its conclusion.

NEW GROUP FORMED IN ESSEX

A NORTH ESSEX group of the British National Party has recently been formed under the leadership of former London activist Martin Toole. The group will be based in Colchester and all members in that area of the county are encouraged to help in its activities. Those interested should write to Mr. Toole at the address given in the right-hand column of this page.

WHAT WE STAND FOR

- The return of leadership and statesmanship to British affairs.
- A government that will put British interests first.
- British withdrawal from the European Common Market.
- Closer links with the White Commonwealth.
- Protection of British industry by the selective exclusion of foreign manufactured goods from Britain.
- A sane financial system that will give to the people the purchasing power to buy the goods they produce.
- The revival of British farming.
- British ownership and control of British industry and resources.
- A clamp-down on social security spongers and the restoration of the incentive to work — with the proviso that work is locally available.
- An end to immigration and a start to repatriation.
- British support for the Whites in South Africa.
- An end to financial aid to corrupt Third World regimes.
- The strengthening of Britain's defences.
- Tougher treatment of criminals.
- The smashing of the IRA, the death penalty for terrorists and firm support for the Loyalist majority in Northern Ireland.
- Repealing of the laws permitting Abortion and Homosexuality and a general toughening up of legislation against the promotion of obscenity in the media.
- The elimination of Communism in Britain, in the mass media, in the factory and in the schoolroom.

WRITE TO US

To: British National Party, PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS.
(Tick appropriate box)

Please send me more information, for which I enclose 25p ☐

I wish to become a full member and enclose £6 (Half-rate for OAPs and students) ☐

I wish to become an associate member and enclose £3 (no half-rate) ☐

Name.....

Address.....

SUBSCRIBE!

In order to make sure of receiving *British Nationalist* regularly, why not take out a subscription? The rate is £3.45 for 12 issues for subscribers in the British Isles. For subscribers overseas the rate is £4.00 for 12 issues (surface mail). Please make cheques/POs payable to *British Nationalist* and send to PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS.

An additional charge of £5 is required on all foreign currency POs and cheques and an additional £1 on all foreign currency sent in banknotes.

Name.....

Address.....